GENDER MAINSTREAMING ENHANCEMENT FRAMEWORK SCORESHEET

Name of Organization Assessed: POLOMOLOK WATER DISTRICT

Date Administered:

Inclusive Period of Assessment: 2020

KEY AREAS	SCORE
POLICY	
Issuance of initial policies on GAD	4.17
2. Issuance of policies to mainstream GAD in	5.04
the organization	5.01
Integration of GAD in the Organization's	5.04
Policies	5.01
Updating and Continuous Enhancement of	0.00
GAD Policies	3.33
5. Model GAD Policy	2.5
Sub-Total:	20.02
Level for Policy:	Commitment Enhancement and
	Institutionalization
PEOPLE	
For Establishing GFPS & GAD	4.98
Champions/Advocates	4.00
2. For GAD Initiatives & Capacity Development	4.98
Activities	4.50
3. For GAD Sponsorship & Related Programs	4.98
4. GAD Champions as Program Implementers	4.98
5. GAD Experts	1.67
Sub-Total:	21.59
Level for Boonley	Commitment Enhancement and
Level for People:	Institutionalization
ENABLING MECHANISMS	
Setting-up of Essential GAD Mechanisms	5.01
2. Functional GAD Mechanisms	5
3. Integration of GAD in the Organization's	4.5
Mechanisms	4.5
4. Advanced GAD Structures and Systems	3
5. Model GAD Structures and Systems	0
Sub-Total:	17.51
Level for Enabling Mechanisms:	GAD Application
PROGRAMS, ACTIVITIES AND PROJECTS	
(PAPs)	
Initial Activities to Facilitate GAD	
Mainstreaming	4.56
Establishing Commitment towards GAD	
Mainstreaming	4.65
3. GAD Application	3.9
4. GAD Commitment and Institutionalization	2
5. Model PAPs	0
Sub-Total:	15.11
Level for PAPs:	GAD Application
TOTAL SCORE	
OVER-ALL LEVEL	GAD APPLICATION

Natonal Highway, Polomolok, South Cotabato

GENDER MAINSTREAMING ENHANCEMENT FRAMEWORK (GMEF) POLICY ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

DISCRIPTORS	SCORE			SCORE			SCORE /	
DISCRIPTORS	NO	PARTLY	YES	ITEM				
1. Issuance of Foundational Policies (max score:								
5; for each item or question, 1.67)								
1.1 Has the organization issued policies articulating								
support to GAD mandates and establishing the			1	1.67				
essential elements of GAD Planning and			V	1.67				
Budgeting? (possible scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)								
1.2 Has the organization conducted a review of								
existing policies for consistency with emerging GAD			V	0.83				
issues? (possible scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)			V	0.63				
1.3 Has the organization issued broad statements of								
intentions or aspirations reflecting its support for			100					
GAD- related activities? (possible scores are 0,0.83			V	1.67				
and 1.67)								
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 1 Policy)				4.17				
2. Issuance of Policies to mainstream GAD in the								
Organization (max score: 5; for each item or								
question, 1.67)								
2.1 Has the organization issued policies reflecting its								
interest for gender mainstreaming? (possible scores			√	1.67				
are 0,0.83 and 1.67)								
2.2 Has the organization issued policies addressing								
the gender needs of the clients (internal and			\ \	1.67				
external)? (possible scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)			`	1.07				
2.3 Has the organization used gender-fair language								
and images in its policy issuances? (possible			\ \	1.67				
scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)								
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 2 Policy)				5.01				
3. Integration of GAD in the Organization's								
Policies (max score: 5; for each item or question,								
1.67)								
3.1 Has the organization adopted a GAD								
Agenda/Strategic Framework? (possible scores are			√	1.67				
0, 0.83 and 1.67)								
3.2 Has the organization integrated GAD								
perspective in its organizational and/ or			1	1.67				
national/sectoral plan/s? (possible scores are 0,			,					
0.83 and 1.67)								
3.3 Has the organization formulated			,					
organizational/national/ sectoral policies on GAD?			1	1.67				
(possible scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)		-						
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 3 Policy)				5.01				

4. Updating and Continuous Enhancement of			
4.1 Has the organization's GAD policy/policies resulted in bridging gender gaps of its clients (internal and external)? (possible scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)		٧	1.67
4.2 Has the organization used the results of gender analysis in the development and/ or enhancement of policies? (possible enhancement of policies? (possible scores are 0, 0.83, and 1.67)	√		0.83
4.3 Has the organization integrated GAD perspective in its Vision, Mission and Goals? (possible scores are 0, 0.83, and 1.67)	√		0.83
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 4 Policy)			3.33
5. Model GAD Policies (max score: 5; for each item or question, 5)			
5.1 Has the organization's GAD policies been used as model/standard by other organizations? (possible scores are 0,2.5 and 5)	V		2.5
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 5 Policy)			2.5
TOTAL GMEF SCORE			20.02

Natonal Highway, Polomolok, South Cotabato

GENDER MAINSTREAMING ENHANCEMENT FRAMEWORK (GMEF) PEOPLE ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

DISCRIPTORS	SCORE			SCORE /	
DISCRIPTORS	NO	PARTLY	YES	ITEM	
1. On the Establishment of GFPS & GAD					
Champions/Advocates (max score: 5; for each					
item or question, .083)					
1.1 Has the organization designated people in	=				
strategic positions as members of its GAD Focal			V	0.83	
Point System (GFPS)? (possible scores are 0, 0.41,			·	0.00	
and 0.83)					
1.2 Have the organization's GAD Focal Point					
System (GFPS) members attended appropriate and			V	0.83	
relevant training on GAD? (possible scores are 0,			2.		
0.41, and 0.83)					
1.3 Has the organization's top management					
attended Basic GAD Orientation or Gender			V	0.83	
Sensitivity Training (GST)? (possible scores are 0,					
0.41, and 0.83)					
1.4 Have the organization's staff members been			,	, 1	
oriented on GAD? (possible scores are 0, 0.41, and			V	0.83	
0.83)					
1.5 Are the organization's top management and					
GAD Focal Point System (GFPS) members aware			√	0.83	
and conscious of GAD-related policies and					
mandates? (possible scores are 0, 0.41, and 0.83)					
1.6 Does the organization's top management allow			,		
staff members to participate in GAD-related			V	0.83	
activities? (possible scores are 0, 0.41, and 0.83)					
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 1 People)				4.98	
2. GAD Initiatives & Capacity Development					
Activities (max score: 5; for each item: 0.83)					
2.1 Does the top management direct the					
implementation of the GAD Plan and Budget (GPB)			V	0.83	
of the organization? (possible scores are 0, 0.41,				0.00	
and 0.83)					
2.2 Are program implementers trained on gender					
analysis (GA) and the use of gender analysis (GA)			√	0.83	
tools? (possible scores are 0, 0.41, and 0.83)					
2.3 Are concerned staff members trained in the					
importance of collecting sex-disaggregated data			\ \	0.83	
(SDD) and gender statistics? (possible scores are 0,			\ \ \	0.03	
0.41, and 0.83)					
2.4 Are male employees involved and appreciative			04		
of the organization's GAD PAPs? (possible scores			\ \	0.83	
are 0, 0.41, and 0.83)					

	1	0.83
	'	0.00
	V	0.83
 		4.98
		4.30
	./	0.83
	١ ١	0.03
-		
	-1	0.00
	v	0.83
		0.00
	٧	0.83
	V	0.83
	1	
	٧	0.83
	V	0.83
		4.98
	925	
	V	0.83
	V	0.83
	√	0.83
1		

,

4.4 Do top management and concerned staff			
members reflect GAD functions in their performance		V	0.83
contracts or terms of reference (TORs)? (possible			
scores are 0, 0.41 and 0.83)		 	
4.5 Are concerned staff members able to develop		,	
tools and/or knowledge products (KPs) on GAD?		V	0.83
(possible scores are 0,0.41 and 0.83)			
4.6 Are clients (internal and external) able to			
participate in 3 or more levels of the development		J	0.83
planning cycle of the organization's GAD PAPs?		•	0.00
(possible scores are 0, 0.41 and 0.83)			
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 4 People)			4.98
5. GAD Experts (max score: 5; for each item, 1.67)			
5.1 Does the top management raise GAD concerns			
during high-level meetings/ discussions? (e.g.			1.67
Cabinet cluster meeting, international conferences)			1.07
(possible scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)			
,		V	
5.2 Are the organization's staff members recognized			
as GAD experts by other organizations? (possible	1 1		0
scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)			
5.3 Are external clients of the organization			
recognized as GAD champions by reputable local,	1		0
national and international organizations? (possible	,		
scores are 0, 0.83 and 1.67)			
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 5 People)			1.67
TOTAL GMEF SCORE			21.59
TOTAL GWIEF SCORE			21.00

Natonal Highway, Polomolok, South Cotabato

GENDER MAINSTREAMING ENHANCEMENT FRAMEWORK (GMEF) ENABLING MECHANISMS ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

DESCRIPTORS	SCORE			SCORE /
DESCRIPTORS	NO	PARTLY	YES	ITEM
1. Setting up of Essential GAD Mechanisms (max				
score: 5; for each item or question, 1.67)				
1.1 Has the organization created/ reconstituted its				
GAD Focal Point System (GFPS) in accordance				
with Magna Carta of Women Implementing Rules			V	1.67
and Regulations (MCW IRR) Sec. 37-C and other				
pertinent policies issued by oversight agencies?				
(possible scores are 0, 0.83, and 1.67)				
1.2 Has the organization initiated exploratory				
activities with the Philippine Commission on Women				
(PCW) or other agencies/LGUs, institutions and/or			V	1.67
individuals to facilitate gender mainstreaming?				
(possible scores are 0, 0.83, and 1.67)				
1.3 Has the organization collected information				
towards the establishment of sex- disaggregated				4.07
database and enhancement of its M&E system?			\ \	1.67
(possible scores are 0, 0.83, and 1.67)				
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 1 Enabling				5.01
Mechanisms)				5.01
2. Functional GAD Mechanisms (max score: 5; for				
each item or question, 1)				
2.1 Does the organization have a functional GAD				
Focal Point System based on the provisions of the				
guidelines issued by relevant oversight agencies?		1	V	1
(e.g. PCW MC 2011-01 for NGAs, JMC 2013-01 for				
LGUs and CHED MO 2015-01 for SUCs) (possible				
scores are 0, 0. 5 and 1)				
2.2 Has the organization established other GAD				
mechanisms? (possible scores are 0, 0. 5 and 1)			√	1
*Other GAD Mechanisms refer to those in addition to the GFPS and the GAD Database				
2.3. Has the organization utilized at least 5% of its				
budget* to implement GAD PAPs? (possible scores			1	
are 0, 0. 5 and 1) refers to the total GAA of NGAs and			\ \	1
LGUs or COB for GOCCs				
2.4. Has engagement with organizations such as				
PCW, LGUs and/or other agencies, and individuals				
been established towards the conduct of GAD-			√	1
related PAPs for the organization? (possible scores				
are 0, 0. 5 and 1)				
2.5. Is the organization able to collect or generate			2	
sex- disaggregated data (SDD) and/or gender			\ \	1
statistics*? (possible scores are 0, 0. 5 and 1)				

Sub total CMEE Soors (Lavel 2 Frankling	Т		
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 2 Enabling			5
Mechanisms)			
3. Integration of GAD in the Organization's			
Mechanisms (max score: 5; for each item or			
question, 1)			
3.1 Do the organization's other GAD mechanisms			
coordinate, monitor and report the progress of the		V	1
implementation of its functions? (possible scores		"	
are 0, 0.5 and 1)			
3.2 Has the organization utilized 30% or more of its			
total budget* to implement GAD PAPs? (possible		V	1
scores are 0, 0.5 and 1) *refers to the total GAA of NGAs			
and LGUs or COB for GOCCs			
3.3 Has the organization judiciously utilized its GAD		-1	
budget to implement GAD activities based on its		V	1
GPB? (possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)			
3.4 Has the organization partnered with			
agencies/LGUs, institutions and/or individuals			0.5
towards the strategic implementation of its GAD			
PAPs? (possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)			
3.5 Is the organization utilizing sex- disaggregated			
data and/or gender statistics in the development			
planning cycle (planning, implementation and		V	1
management and monitoring and evaluation)?			
(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)			
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 3 Enabling			4.5
Mechanisms)			
4. Advanced GAD Mechanisms (max score: 5; for			
each item or question, 1)			
4.1 Are the organization's other GAD mechanisms			
able to contribute towards the attainment of its		V	1
desired impact/s? (possible scores are 0,0.5 and 1)			
4.2 Has the organization utilized 70% or more of its			
4.2 Has the organization utilized 70% or more of its			
total budget* to implement GAD PAPs? (possible			0.5
scores are 0, 0.5 and 1) *refers to the total GAA of NGAs and LGUs or COB for GOCCs			
4.3 Is the organization's database with sex-			
disaggregated data and/or gender statistics able to			0.5
generate sector- specific knowledge products (KPs)			
on GAD? (possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)			
4.4 Is the organization's M&E system able to track			
the desired gender-related impacts of its GAD PAPs			0.5
on clients (internal and external)? (possible scores			0.0
are 0, 0.5 and 1)			
4.5 Does the organization have a Knowledge			
Management (KM) System with GAD- related			0.5
knowledge products (KPs)? (possible scores are 0,	1		0.5
0.5 and 1)			
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 4 Enabling			3
Mechanisms)			3

5. Model GAD Structures and Systems (max			
score: 5; for each item or question:1)			
5.1 Has the organization's GAD Focal Point System			
been recognized or awarded as a model GAD			
mechanism by reputable local, national, and	1		0
international organizations on gender			
mainstreaming?			
5.2 Has the organization's other GAD mechanisms			
been recognized as models by other organizations?	√		0
(possible scores are 0, 0.5 and 1)			
5.3 Has the organization utilized 100% of its total			
budget* to implement GAD PAPs? (possible scores	1 1		0
are 0, 0.5 and 1) *refers to the total GAA of NGAs and	'		"
LGUs or COB for GOCCs			
5.4 Has the organization established a centralized			
database with sex-disaggregated data and/or			
gender statistics accessible to its regional offices	√		0
and attached agencies, as well as external clients	,		
and partner organizations? (possible scores are 0,			
0.5 and 1)			
5.5 Is the Knowledge Management (KM) system of			
the organization integrated with GAD and replicated	\		0
by other organizations? possible scores are 0, 0.5			
and 1)		 	
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 5 Enabling			0
Mechanisms)			
TOTAL GMEF SCORE ENABLING MECHANISMS			17.51

Natonal Highway, Polomolok, South Cotabato

GENDER MAINSTREAMING ENHANCEMENT FRAMEWORK (GMEF) PROGRAMS, ACTIVITIES, PROJECTS ORGANIZATIONAL ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

DISCOURTORS	SCORE			SCORE /
DISCRIPTORS	NO	PARTLY	YES	ITEM
1. Initial Activities to Facilitate GAD				
Mainstreaming (max score: 5; for each item or				
question:0.83)				
1.1 Is the organization observing international/				
national/local GAD- related events (possible scores			V	0.83
are 0, 0.41 and 0.83)				
1.2 Has the organization conducted Basic GAD				
Orientation or Gender Sensitivity Training (GST) for		\		0.41
its clients (internal and external)? (possible scores		'		0.71
are 0, 0.41 and 0.83)				
1.3 Has the organization conducted consultation				
activities with clients (internal and external) to			V	0.83
identify gender issues and corresponding			,	0.00
strategies? (possible scores are 0, 0.41 and 0.83)				
1.4 Has the organization consulted PCW and				
relevant organizations/ individuals on its GAD			V	0.83
mainstreaming efforts? (possible scores are 0, 0.41			``	0.00
and 0.83)				
1.5 Has the organization reviewed and revised				
existing Information/Education/ Communication			,	
(IEC) materials and Knowledge Products (KPs) to			√	0.83
ensure use of gender-fair language and images?				
(possible scores are 0, 0.41 and 0.83)				
1.6 Has the organization set up a GAD corner?			\ \	0.83
(possible scores are 0, 0.41 and 0.83)				4.50
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 1 PAPs)				4.56
2. Establishing Commitment towards Gender				
Mainstreaming (max score: 5; for each item or				
question: 0.62)				
2.1 Has the organization formulated GAD agenda or			1	
strategic framework? (possible scores are 0, 0.31			\ \	0.62
and 0.62)				
2.2 Has the organization developed its GAD Plan				
and Budget (GPB) based on GAD agenda,				0.00
emerging gender issues, international/ national GAD			1	0.62
mandates and/or results of gender analysis?				
(possible scores are 0, 0.31 and 0.62)				

2.3 Has the organization conducted deepening sessions on GAD based on the results of the Training Needs Assessment (TNA) or updated GAD policies and tools as part of the continuing capacity development of GAD Focal Point System (GFPS) and concerned staff members? (possible scores are 0, 0.31 and 0.62)	√		0.31
2.4 Has the organization used Gender Analysis (GA) tools and techniques in the review, enhancement or development of PAPs? (possible scores are 0,0.31 and 0.62)		V	0.62
2.5 Does the organization have facilities and services that address the gender issues and concerns of its clients (internal and external)? (possible scores are 0, 0.31 and 0.62)		√	0.62
2.6 Has the organization developed orientation modules for new employees with gender-sensitivity as a core competency?(possible scores are 0,0.31 and 0.62)		1	0.62
2.7 Has the organization developed and disseminated new Information/Education/ Communication (IEC) materials on GAD to clients (internal and external)? (possible scores are 0, 0.31 and 0.62)		√	0.62
2.8 Has the organization created a GAD section in its website? (possible scores are 0, 0.31 and 0.62)		1	0.62
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 2 PAPs)			4.65
3. GAD Application (max score: 5; for each item or question: 0.71)			
3.1 Has the organization monitored the implementation of its GAD Programs/ Activities/Projects (PAPs)? (possible scores are 0, 0.35 and 0.71)		√	0.71
3.2 Has the organization prepared and submitted on time its GAD Plan and Budget (GPB) and GAD Accomplishment Report (GAD AR)? (possible scores are 0, 0.35 and 0.71) *PCW endorses GPBs of NGAs, GOCCs and SUCs **DILG endorses GPBs of LGUs		٧	0.71
3.3 Has the organization conducted and sustained the GAD capacity development of its clients (internal and external)? (possible scores are 0, 0.35 and 0.71)	1		0.35
3.4 Has the organization conducted GAD capacity development sessions for its internal GAD experts? (possible scores are 0, 0.35 and 0.71)		٧	0.71

3.5 Has the organization regularly applied Gender Analysis (GA) tools in the development planning cycle (planning, implementation and management, and monitoring and evaluation)? (possible scores are 0, 0.35 and 0.71)		√	0.71
3.6 Has the organization regularly updated its GAD section in the website? (possible scores are 0, 0.35 and 0.71)		√	0.71
3.7 Has the organization set up a Knowledge Management (KM) system as a mechanism to transfer knowledge on GAD? (possible scores are 0, 0.35 and 0.71)	٧		0
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 3 PAPs)			3.9
4. GAD Commitment and Institutionalization (max score: 5; for each item or question, 1.0)			
4.1 Has the organization sustained implementation and monitoring of international, national and local GAD mandates in its PAPs? (possible scores are 0,0.5, and 1)		V	1
4.2 Has the organization conducted organizational/sector- specific capacity development sessions on GAD for clients (internal and external)? (possible scores are 0,0.5, and 1)		٧	1
4.3 Does the organization regularly apply gender analysis (GA) tools to assess gender-responsiveness of programs/activities/ projects (PAPs)? (possible scores are 0,0.5, and 1)	V		0
4.4 Has the organization developed a sustainability action plan for its GAD PAPs? (possible scores are 0,0.5, and 1)	٧		0
4.5 Has the organization conducted impact evaluation of its GAD PAPs? (possible scores are 0,0.5, and 1)			
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 4 PAPs)			2
5. Model PAPs (max score: 5; for each item or question: 1.25)			
5.1 Has the organization been recognized as a GAD learning hub for its notable GAD PAPs? (possible scores are 0, 0.62 and 1.25)	1		0
5.2 Has the organization's partnership with stakeholders resulted in a convergence model that is recognized and replicated by other organizations? (possible scores are 0, 0.62 and 1.25)	√		0
5.3 Has the organization's Knowledge Products (KPs) and Information/Education/ Communication (IEC) materials on GAD been used by other organizations? (possible scores are 0, 0.62 and 1.25)	٧		0

,

TOTAL GMEF SCORE (PAPs)		14.28
Sub-total GMEF Score (Level 5 PAPs)		0
5.4 Has the organization's existing award/incentive system been integrated with GAD perspective? (possible scores are 0, 0.62 and 1.25)	√	0